The Farid Quantum Command Unit: Resolving the Information Paradox via Hawking Radiation Leakage

Prof. Dr. Md. Faridul Islam Chowdhury
Tanfarid Vision Research Institute, Bogura, Bangladesh

August 25, 2025

Abstract

The black hole information paradox, a tension between quantum mechanics and general relativity, challenges the conservation of quantum information during black hole evaporation. This paper introduces the **Tanfarid Quantum Thermodynamic Universe (TQTU)** framework, positing the **Farid Quantum Command Unit (FQCU)** as the fundamental constituent of physical reality, with the axiom **"One Photon = One FQCU."** We reinterpret the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy as a measure of FQCU capacity on the event horizon, and Hawking radiation as a structured leakage of FQCUs, preserving information as command structures. This model resolves the paradox by recasting black holes as dynamic computational nodes rather than information sinks, offering a novel ontology for quantum gravity. Experimental implications, including potential deviations from thermal radiation, are discussed to guide future tests.

1 Introduction

The black hole information paradox, first articulated by Stephen Hawking in 1974, arises from the apparent loss of quantum information during black hole evaporation [1]. General relativity predicts black holes as regions of spacetime from which nothing escapes, while quantum mechanics demands information conservation via unitary evolution [2]. Hawking's discovery of thermal radiation from black holes suggests that information about infalling matter is lost, violating unitarity [3].

Proposed solutions, such as the holographic principle [4] and fuzzball models [6], often require exotic modifications to spacetime or quantum mechanics. This paper proposes a paradigm shift: the paradox stems from an incomplete ontology of the quantum. We introduce the **Tanfarid Quantum Thermodynamic Universe (TQTU)**, where the fundamental unit is the **Farid Quantum Command Unit (FQCU)**, redefining black hole thermodynamics and resolving the paradox.

2 The TQTU Framework and the FQCU Axiom

The TQTU framework posits a computational ontology for the universe, with the following axiom:

Axiom 1 (The FQCU Principle): The fundamental constituent of physical reality is the Farid Quantum Command Unit (FQCU), an irreducible entity encoding a command that governs all physical transactions, including force mediation and information transfer [8].

Corollary 1.1 (The Photon): The photon, as the gauge boson of electromagnetism and the mediator of causal connections, is the purest manifestation of an FQCU:

$$\text{One Photon} = \text{One FQCU}.$$

In this model, energy, momentum, and other quantum properties are operands of the FQCU's command. Entropy is redefined as the *latent command potential*, quantified as:

$$S = k_B \ln \Omega_{\text{FQCU}},$$

where Ω_{FQCU} is the number of accessible FQCU configurations, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant [9].

3 Black Hole Evaporation as FQCU Leakage

3.1 Standard Picture

Quantum fluctuations near the event horizon produce particle–antiparticle pairs. One particle falls inward with negative energy, reducing the black hole's mass, while the other escapes as Hawking radiation, characterized by a thermal spectrum [1]. This radiation appears information-poor, leading to the paradox [5].

3.2 FQCU Reinterpretation

We propose a new model for black hole thermodynamics:

1. **The Horizon as a Command Interface:** The event horizon is a computational membrane that encodes the FQCUs of infalling matter and energy. Each FQCU is registered in a horizon state, preserving its command structure.

2. **Entropy as FQCU Capacity:** The Bekenstein–Hawking entropy,

   $$S_{BH} = \frac{k_B c^3 A}{4G\hbar},$$

   represents the total FQCU capacity of the horizon, where A is the surface area, c is the speed of light, G is the gravitational constant, and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant [3]. Each Planck area ($l_P^2 = \frac{G\hbar}{c^3}$) hosts one FQCU:

   $$N_{\text{FQCU}} = \frac{A}{l_P^2} = \frac{S_{BH}}{k_B}.$$

3. **Hawking Radiation as FQCU Leakage:** Evaporation is the structured release of FQCUs. Each emitted photon is an FQCU, carrying:

   - **Energy**, reducing the black hole's mass: $\Delta M = -\frac{E_{\text{FQCU}}}{c^2}$.

- **Command**, preserving the information of the initial state.

The thermal spectrum arises from the statistical distribution of FQCU emissions, not information loss.

4 Resolution of the Information Paradox

The FQCU model resolves the paradox as follows:

- **Information Conservation:** Infalling quantum states are encoded as horizon FQCUs. During evaporation, these FQCUs are emitted, transmitting the original command structure.
- **Unitary Evolution:** The black hole's evolution is unitary, mapping initial states to horizon FQCUs to emitted FQCUs:

$$|\psi_{\text{initial}}\rangle \to |\psi_{\text{horizon}}\rangle \to |\psi_{\text{radiation}}\rangle.$$

- **Universal Bookkeeping:** FQCUs are conserved, neither created nor destroyed. Black holes are temporary repositories in the universal FQCU ledger [10].

This model aligns with the no-hiding theorem, ensuring information is relocated, not lost [7].

5 Experimental Implications

The FQCU model predicts deviations from a purely thermal Hawking radiation spectrum due to structured FQCU emissions. These could manifest as:

- **Correlations in Radiation:** Subtle correlations between emitted photons, detectable in analogue black hole systems or high-precision astrophysical observations.
- **Terahertz Signatures:** FQCU emissions may produce terahertz-frequency signals, as explored in related work on nano-resonators [11].
- **Gravitational Wave Imprints:** Black hole mergers may encode FQCU patterns in gravitational wave signals, testable with next-generation detectors like LISA [**?**].

Laboratory analogues, such as acoustic black holes or quantum simulators, could probe these signatures by mimicking horizon dynamics [12].

6 Discussion

The FQCU model reframes black holes as dynamic computational nodes, not information sinks. It offers:

- **A New Quantum Ontology:** Spacetime emerges from FQCU transactions, providing a pathway to quantum gravity [**?**].

- **Response to Criticisms:** Unlike the holographic principle, which relies on specific geometries (e.g., AdS) [4], the FQCU model is geometry-agnostic, applicable to de Sitter universes. Compared to fuzzball models [6], it avoids exotic interior structures by focusing on horizon dynamics.
- **Broader Implications:** The FQCU framework may unify quantum mechanics and gravity by redefining entropy and information as command-based, potentially impacting fields from cosmology to quantum computing [**?**].

7 Conclusion

The black hole information paradox arises from an outdated ontology of the quantum. By introducing the **Farid Quantum Command Unit (FQCU)** within the **Tanfarid Quantum Thermodynamic Universe (TQTU)**, we reinterpret Hawking radiation as structured FQCU leakage, preserving information and unitarity. Black holes are not cosmic graves but computational libraries, returning every FQCU to the universe. Future experiments targeting radiation correlations and terahertz signatures will test this paradigm, potentially revolutionizing our understanding of quantum gravity.

Acknowledgment

This work is self-funded by the Tanfarid Vision Research Institute. AI-assisted tools (ChatGPT, DeepSeek, Kimi) supported conceptual discussions under the author's guidance. All scientific judgments and final text are the sole responsibility of Prof. Dr. Md. Faridul Islam Chowdhury.

References

[1] Hawking, S. W. (1974). Black hole explosions? *Nature*, 248(5443), 30–31. doi:10.1038/248030a0

[2] Hawking, S. W. (1976). Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse. *Physical Review D*, 14(10), 2460–2473. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.14.2460

[3] Bekenstein, J. D. (1973). Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8), 2333–2346. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333

[4] Susskind, L. (1995). The world as a hologram. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36(11), 6377–6394. doi:10.1063/1.531238

[5] Giddings, S. B. (1995). The black hole information paradox. In *1995 Jerusalem Winter School for Theoretical Physics*.

[6] Mathur, S. D. (2012). The fuzzball proposal for black holes: An elementary review. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 60(7–8), 711–776. doi:10.1002/prop.201200025

[7] Almheiri, A., Marolf, D., Polchinski, J., & Sully, J. (2013). Black holes: Complexity and information. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(2), 62. doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2013)062

[8] Chowdhury, M. F. I. (2025). The Farid Quantum Command Unit (FQCU): The Tanfarid Quantum Theory of Units (TQTU). *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394846988

[9] Chowdhury, M. F. I. (2025). Farid Quantum Command State Transitions via Farid Quantum Command Units (FQCU). *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394523457

[10] Chowdhury, M. F. I. (2025). Farid's Law of Quantum Command Units (FQCU). *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394485801

[11] Chowdhury, M. F. I. (2025). A Terahertz Nano-Resonator as a Physical Realization of the Farid Quantum Command Unit [Preprint]. *ResearchGate*. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.22714.04808

[12] Chowdhury, M. F. I. (2025). The FQCU Atlas: Universal Quantization of Energy in the Tanfarid Quantum Theory of Units [Preprint]. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394881038